

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/759,166

Applicant(s)

ISHII ET AL.

Examiner

Jordan M. Schwartz

Art Unit

2873

All Participants:

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz.

(2) Jong Lee.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 July 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference



Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

20-21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's representative was informed that claims 20-21 now dependent from claim 3 presented prohibited new matter for the reasons set forth in the 112 first paragraph rejection of the office action. Proposed changes were discussed to overcome this rejection and place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative needed to contact the applicant for approval. A timely resolution, however, could not be reached prompting this office action .